UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	٦
10/713,616	11/13/2003	Vinod Sharma	139-033U 2521		_
23429 GREGORY SN	7590 10/12/2007 /ITH & ASSOCIATES	EXA	EXAMINER		
3900 NEWPAR	RK MALL ROAD, 3RD FL	WONG	WONG, KIN C		
NEWARK, CA 94560			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	_
			2627		
					_
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	_
			10/12/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/713,616	SHARMA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	K. Wong	2627				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23 August 2007</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 23 and 24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 23 and 24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· ·				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Oce the attached detailed office action for a list	or the contined copies flot reserve	u .				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 2627

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114.

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 8/23/07 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yan (6025988) in view of Shier (5488518).

Regarding claim 23: Yan discloses an interconnecting circuit (as depicted in figure 2 of Yan and associated descriptions for details) for a voice-coil actuator with multiple sliders (as depicted in figure 15 of Yan and see associated descriptions for details) and corresponding micro-actuators (element 24 in figure 2 and associated descriptions for details) in a disk drive, including:

a main flex circuit (element 14 in figure 2) with interconnections for a read-write preamplifier (element 44 in figure 2), a ribbon cable socket (is inherent within the reference), a micro-actuator source control bundle (as depicted in figure 9 of Yan and

Art Unit: 2627

see associated descriptions for details) shared by each of the micro-actuators, and a bridge coupling region (col. 7, lines 11-25 of Yan);

a plurality of bridge flex circuits each including interconnected bridge flex circuit coupling sites, slider contact areas, and test probe areas (as depicted in figure 2 and see associated descriptions for details);

a cleavage line (element 40 in figure 2 and see associated descriptions for details) included in each of the plurality of bridge flex circuits and providing for a separation and removal of test probe areas after a manufacturing test for continuity (col. 5, lines 29-42 of Yan); and

a reflow solder connection of the main flex circuit and all the plurality of bridge flex circuits at the bridge coupling region and bridge flex circuit coupling sites (in col. 7, lines 17-34 where Yan describes connections of the flex circuit).

Although Yan suggests the interconnection for the read-write preamplifier (col. 5, lines 12-24 of Yan) with a single chip, however, Yan is silent on the capability specifies of the interconnection with a single preamplifier or chip for the multiple of heads or sliders. Shier is relied on for the teachings a single preamplifier or chip with capability for a multiple of sliders (as shown in figure 1 and see col. 3, lines 38-42 of Shier).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the preamplifier of Yan with the preamplifier for the multiple sliders as taught by Shier. The rationale is as follows: one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to provide a reduction of connection to the sliders as suggested in col. 3, lines 26-37 of Shier.

Art Unit: 2627

Furthermore, the single chip shows no unexpected result to occur. Thus, it is a merely a design choice in a routine experimentation, specifically, when there no unexpected result seems to occur. See In re Larson, 340 F2.2d 965, 968, 144 USPQ 347, 349 (CCPA 1965); In re Japikse, 181 F.2d 1019, 86 USPQ 70 (CCPA 1950); In re Ruff, 256 F. 2d 590, 118 USPQ 340 (CCPA 1958); and; In re Scott, 323 F. 2d 1016, 139 USPQ 297 (CCPA 1963).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yan (6025988).

Regarding claim 24: Yan discloses a flex circuit for a disk drive voice-coil actuator (as depicted in figure 1 of Yan and see associated descriptions for details), including:

a source control bundle for positioning control of a plurality of read-write heads and shared by each of a plurality of micro-actuators included in a voice-coil actuator for a disk drive (col. 7, lines 11-16 of Yan);

a bridge coupling region providing connections for each micro-actuator between the source control bundle on a main flex circuit and a microactuator control bundle on a Art Unit: 2627

bridge flex circuit for each of the read-write heads (as depicted in figure 15 of Yan especially in the region of element 96, and, see associated descriptions for details).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 8/23/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding to remarks file on 8/23/07 for claim 23: applicant asserts that Yan fails to teach one single preamplifier for multiple heads/sliders. Applicant is directed to col. 5, lines 17-24 where Yan describes the split preamplifier that which a single preamplifier for multiple heads. Therefore, Yan suggests a single chip for multiple of sliders; thus, Yan is reserved.

Regarding to remarks file on 8/23/07 for claim 24: applicant asserts that Yan fails to teach a micro-actuator control bundle shared by each of the micro-actuators.

Applicant is directed to figure 15 of Yan and especially in the input region of the element 96, and, the associated descriptions for details. Thus, the rejection stands.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Petersen (5430584) is cited for a single chip preamplifier.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to K. Wong whose telephone number is (571) 272-7566.

Art Unit: 2627

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, W. Young can be reached on (571) 272-7582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

kw

10 Oct 07

PRIMARY EXAMINER